1	wo	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Darlene Smith, derivatively on behalf of) CV 11-722-PHX-PGR Apollo Group, Inc.,	
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v. ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATION REGARDING	
12	John G. Sperling, Peter V. Sperling,) BRIEFING SCHEDULE)	
13	Charles B. Edelstein, Gregory W. Cappelli, Terri C. Bishop, Dino J.	
14	Deconcini, Samel A. Dipiazza, Jr., Stephen J. Giusto, Roy A. Herberger, Jr.,	
15	Ann Kirschner, K. Sue Redman, James () R. Reis, Manuel F. Rivelo, George ()	
16	Zimmer, Joseph D'Amico, Gregory J. Iverson, Brian L. Swartz, Brian Mueller,	
17	P. Robert Moya, Robert W. Wrubel, William J. Pepicello, and Does 1–25,	
18	inclusive,	
19	Defendants,)	
20	v.)	
21	Apollo Group, Inc., an Arizona () corporation, ()	
22	Nominal Defendant.	
23		
24	Pursuant to the parties' Stipulations Regarding Briefing Schedule (Docs. 17, 47), and	
25	for good cause shown,	
26	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the briefing schedule on defendants' motion to stay	
27	shall be as follows:	
28		

I

FILING	DUE DATE
Defendants' motion to stay	July 28, 2011
Plaintiff's opposition	September 13, 2011
Defendants' reply	October 13, 2011

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no defendant shall be required to answer or respond to the complaint by motion until 60 days after the Court denies defendants' motion to stay, or 60 days after the expiration of the stay should defendants' motion be granted and the stay expires on its own terms. If defendants respond to the complaint by way of motion to dismiss or other motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12, plaintiff shall have 45 days from the date of defendants' motion in which to file an opposing brief, and defendants shall thereafter have 30 days in which to file a reply.

DATED this 26th day of July, 2011.

Paul G. Rosenblatt

United States District Judge